

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/801,357	BOHINC, JERRY	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	DARYL C POPE	2632	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) DARYL C POPE.

(2) JOHN D. SIMMONS.

**Date of Interview:** 17 March 2005

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

24

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

  

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: THE EXAMINER INFORMED APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE THAT A SEARCH OF THE PRIOR ART REVEALED THAT CLAIMS 1-23 OF THE PRESENT INVENTION WERE ALLOWABLE OVER THE PRIOR ART, AND IF APPLICANT WAS WILL TO AUTHORIZE AN EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT TO MAKE NECESSARY CHANGES THE CLAIMS, THE EXAMINER WOULD PASS THE APPLICATION TO ISSUE. THE APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE AGREED AND AUTHORIZED THE ATTACHED EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT.